

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

HIGH MAINTENANCE BITCH, LLC, a) Case No. :
Washington limited liability company)
Plaintiff,) **COMPLAINT**
vs.)
B A BARKER, INC, a Arkansas) **(JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**
Corporation,)
Defendant)

Plaintiff High Maintenance Bitch, LLC ("HMB") complains against defendant B A Barker, Inc. ("Barker"), and for causes of action alleges as follows.

THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiff HMB is a Washington State limited liability company, with its principal place of business at 10015 Lake City Way, NE, Box 315, Seattle, Washington, 98125.

2. HMB is the owner of United States Patent No. D475,163 ("HMB Patent 1"), reproduced as Exhibit A hereto.

3. HMB is the owner of United States Patent No. D468,491 ("HMB Patent 2"), reproduced as Exhibit B hereto.

4. HMB is the owner of United States Patent No. D475,162 ("HMB Patent 3"), reproduced as Exhibit C hereto.

5. Barker is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of Arkansas, with its principal place of business at 8 Summerland CT, Little Rock, Arkansas, 72227.

Jurisdiction

6. This is a civil action for patent infringement committed by Barker.

7. This court has original subject matter jurisdiction over HMB's claims for relief, which arise under the laws of the United States and more particularly Title 35 of the United States Code, Pursuant to 28 USC § 1331.

8. This court has original subject matter jurisdiction over HMD's claims for relief, which arise under act of Congress relating to patents, pursuant to 28 USC § 1338(a).

Factual Background

9. Barker has made, used, sold, and/or offered for sale products that are within the scope of the claims of HMB Patent 1, HMB Patent 2, and HMB Patent 3.

10. HMB has not licensed or assigned Barker HMB Patent 1, HMB Patent 2, or HMB Patent 3.

Causes of Action

COUNT I
(Direct Infringement of HMB Patent 1)

11. By this reference HMB incorporates and re-alleges, as though fully set forth herein, paragraphs 1 through 10 above.

12. Barker, without authority has made, used, sold, and/or offered for sale goods within the scope of the claims of HMB patent 1.

13. The conduct of Barker as set forth above gives rise to a cause of action for direct infringement of HMB patent 1, pursuant to 35 USC §§ 271(a), 281, and 289.

14. HMB alleges that Barker will continue to infringe HMB Patent 1 unless enjoined by the court.

15. By reason of the foregoing HMB is entitled to injunctive and monetary relief against Barker, pursuant to 35 USC §§ 283-285, as more fully set forth below.

COUNT II
(Direct Infringement of HMB Patent 2)

16. By this reference HMB incorporates and re-alleges, as though fully set forth herein, paragraphs 1 through 15 above.

17. Barker, without authority has made, used, sold, and/or offered for sale goods within the scope of the claims of HMB patent 2.

18. The conduct of Barker as set forth above gives rise to a cause of action for direct infringement of HMB patent 2, pursuant to 35 USC § 271(a), 281, and 289.

19. HMB alleges that Barker will continue to infringe HMB Patent 2 unless enjoined by the court.

20. By reason of the foregoing HMB is entitled to injunctive and monetary relief against Barker, pursuant to 35 USC §§ 283-285, as more fully set forth below.

COUNT III
(Direct Infringement of HMB Patent 3)

21. By this reference HMB incorporates and re-alleges, as though fully set forth herein, paragraphs 1 through 20 above.

22. Barker, without authority has made, used, sold, and/or offered for sale goods within the scope of the claims of HMB patent 3.

23. The conduct of Barker as set forth above gives rise to a cause of action for direct infringement of HMB patent 3, pursuant to 35 USC §§ 271(a), 281, and 289.

24. HMB alleges that Barker will continue to infringe HMB Patent 3 unless enjoined by the court.

25. By reason of the foregoing HMB is entitled to injunctive and monetary relief against Barker, pursuant to 35 USC §§ 283-285, as more fully set forth below.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, HMB prays for judgment against Barker as follows.

A. An order of this court temporarily, preliminarily, and permanently enjoining B A Barker, Inc., its agents, and any and all parties acting in concert with any of them from directly or indirectly infringing in any manner HMB Patent 1, HMB Patent 2, and HMB Patent 3, whether by making, using, selling, and/or offering to sell infringing products, pursuant to at least 35 USC § 283;

B. An award of damages to HMB, in an amount to be proven at trial, pursuant to at least 35 USC § 284;

C. Prejudgment interest pursuant to at least 35 USC § 284;

D. An award of HMB's attorney's fees and expenses pursuant to at least 35 USC § 285; and

E. For such other and further relief as the court deems just, proper and equitable.

DEMAND FOR JURY

Plaintiff High Maintenance Bitch, LLC demands TRIAL BY JURY of all causes so triable.

1 Dated this June 8, 2007

2 /Daniel M Bronski/

3 VeriTrademark
4 216 First Ave S #210
5 Seattle, WA 981024
(206) 219-0515

6 Daniel M. Bronski

7 James J. Ruttler, of
Counsel (US Patent Reg. No.
56,919)